Doing intersectionality

Ruth m. Mestre i mestre Universitat de València Institut Universitari d'estudis de les dones

Patricia Hill Collins' provisional list of assumptions for intersectional projects

Collins' provisional list of assumptions for intersectional projects comprises that: (1) race, class, gender, sexuality, and similar categories of analysis, are best understood in relational terms

(relationality);

(2) these mutually constructing categories underlie and shape intersecting systems of power (power); (3) producing complex social inequalities that are organised via unequal material realities and distinctive social experiences

(inequality);

(4) vary across time and space; (social context) (5) individuals and groups differently positioned within these intersecting systems of power advance different knowledge projects that reflect their social position;

(social justice/complexity)

(6) upholding or contesting that particular status quo (social justice/complexity). Intersectional knowledge projects may embrace one, some combination, or all the assumptions

Patricia Hill Collins, 'Intersectionality's Definitional Dilemmas' (2015) 41 Annual Review of Sociology 1.

Creating a space for dialogue



Every now and then in the news: cases of sexual harassment against seasonal workers in the strawberry fields in Huelva, Spain.

400 cases reported: 13 legal procedures (not sure about convictions)

Spanish migration legislation (2000): 'temporary foreign worker' system.

Careful selection of women in Marocco (i.e.family responsibilities)

Abusive working and living conditions (fields)– agricultural work, control by manager...

Sexual harrasment and abuse at work/ rape

Intersection of sex, race, class, migratory status...

(Dialogue amongst perspectives/ knowledge)

Relational/Power/Inequality/Social context/Social justice/Complexity

Letizia Palumbo & Alessandra Sciurba:

https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/S TUD/2018/604966/IPOL_STU(2018)604966_EN.pdf Working together to ensure the right of girls with disabilities to live free from violence



Intersectionality improves group-based approaches

Addressing underlying factors creating "vulnerability"

- How certain structures make certain "identities" a vehicle of vulnerability
- What are the institutional structures that make some people be discriminated against?
- Stereotypes/ lack of inclusive education/ fear of consequences of reporting violence...

Accepting diversity within the groups

- Women/girls
- Children/girls
- Children with disabilities/ girls with disabilities...
- Physical disabilities, cognitive

The importance of a category/ system of power cannot be determined a priori- but has to be taken in relational terms:

- not all violence against girls with disabilities is gender-based: bullying from other children
- it is the combination/ intersection: forced institutionalisation of girls with disabilities much more frequent than of boys (period management, fear of pregnancy...)
 Categories are dynamic and contextbased

Interagency statement at: https://www.unicef.org/reports/wor king-together-ensure-right-girlsdisabilities-live-free-violence

Principle of non-discrimination in the implementation of the IC (art. 4.3)

How are the different actors interpreting this provision/ these obligations?

- Multiple levels and actors
 - State reports
 - Civil Society shadow reports
 - GREVIO reports
- Multiple perspectives but 3 main approaches
 - Group-based
 - Mainstreaming difference or mainstreaming GBV policies into sectorial policies
 - Structural approach to inequalities

The obligation to interpret the convention mindful of the interaction of intersecting forms of discrimination means implies taking into consideration that:

- policies may be crafted in disempowering ways
- policies may result in discrimination
- Some women may be subject to specific forms of violence
- Stereotypes can be reproduced by policies and practices
- All 4 Pillars must be read with that principle in mind



ENSURING THE NON-DISCRIMINATORY IMPLEMENTATION OF MEASURES AGAINST VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: ARTICLE 4, PARAGRAPH 3, OF THE ISTANBUL CONVENTION



A collection of papers on the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence

https://rm.coe.int/paper-on-article-4-paragraph-3-of-theistanbul-convention/1680a5d92e

FGM/C at the Istanbul Convention *

Intersectional failure

Intersectional failure *refers* to the violence resulting from not having an intersectional policy approach, and the reinforcement of power systems that remain unadressed thereof.

Explanatory Report to the IC, para 198.

"Due to the nature of female genital mutilation (FGM), this is one of the criminal offences that break with the principle of gender neutrality of the criminal law part of this Convention (...) It aims at criminalising the traditional practice of cutting away certain parts of the female genitalia which some communities perform on their female members..."

An intersectional approach (what internationality *does):*

- unveils layers of subordination as they are built in by structures, discourses and institutions-
- provides another lens to capture the complexity of power
- By doing so, provides a framework that does not reinforce unbalanced power relations

How has a particular form of violence been addressed in its

Political aspects: whether FGM/C has been conceptualised in disempowering ways for racialised women

- Culturally motivated crime
- Racialised victim female subject
- Ignores male Circumcision; white plastic surgery, intersex surgery, mandatory genital surgery

Representational aspects: whether the narrative around it reinforces stereotypes and stigma

- Cultural crime
- Excessive interest and attention
- Prefect victim of barbaric culture
- Girls at risk...

Structural and disempowering aspects: whether the policy/ norm meets needs of victims and whether it imposes burdens

- Little attention to residence permit, other forms of violence or needs/
- Paternalist policies/ tokenism
- Not prioritising needs of victims/ families/ girls
- Excessive control and policing over families
- No difference between needs of women who have undergo FGM/C and girls at risk



Mestre i Mestre, R (2020) 'Exploring intersectionality: FGM/C at the Istanbul Convention' in J Niemi, L Peroni & V Stoyanova (eds): *International Law and Violence against Women. Europe and the Istanbul Convention*, Routledge.