



EQUINET Legal Training ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION: A TOOL FOR SPECIALISED EQUALITY BODIES TRAINING PROGRAMME

23-24 May 2012, Vilnius (Lithuania)

Venue

Holiday Inn Vilnius

Seimyniskiu str. 1 09312 Vilnius

Target audience

This training event will primarily target staff within specialised equality bodies handling individual cases of alleged discrimination where negotiations between the victim and the accused perpetrator could be envisaged.

Objectives

The **main general goals of this training** are:

- to deepen participants' knowledge about different Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms
- to discuss advantages and challenges of ADR methods for national equality bodies.

The **training will in particular enhance participants' knowledge** in the fields of:

- identifying and addressing situations where ADR mechanisms could be effective
- effectively addressing the challenges surrounding ADR mechanisms in discrimination cases
- exploring potential solutions to discrimination cases adopted through ADR mechanisms









Rationale

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is a relatively well known concept among legal professionals in Europe today, yet it is fairly undeveloped as a tool for specialised equality bodies dealing with alleged cases of discrimination.

Several aspects of ADR make these methods particularly adapted to resolving discrimination cases, such as their future-oriented character and the acceptance by both parties to the conflict of the adopted solution. Identifying the challenges encountered by equality bodies working with ADR and exchanging experiences on how to overcome these challenges are essential elements to determining to which extent these methods are useful in cases of discrimination.

Method

The training event will observe the diversity of Equinet members and will employ a comparative approach to the implementation and interpretation of anti-discrimination law. This will enable participants to discuss, compare and contrast their respective practices and allows for peer learning and practical information exchange.

The training will feature presentations of eminent speakers experienced in the interpretation and application of European equality legislation and in the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution methods. It will also provide an opportunity for peer learning from experiences of other equality bodies.

The training is designed to also create the opportunity for participants to share their experiences and knowledge in relation to the use of alternative dispute resolution methods when dealing with discrimination cases. Participants will share and discuss their ideas on concrete cases in two interactive workshop sessions and will be asked to contribute their experiences at the plenary sessions.









DAY 1 - W	ednesday 23 May 2012		
08.30 - 09.00	Registration & Welcome coffee/tea		
09.00 - 09.30	Opening Address		
	Aušrinė Burneikienė – Equal Opportunities Ombudsperson, Lithuania		
	Jozef de Witte – Center for Equality and Opposi Chair of the Equinet Executive Board	tion to Racism (Belgium) and	
09.30 - 11.15	Session I (Plenary) - Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) as a method for Specialised Equality Bodies to resolve cases of discrimination Chair: Elke Lujansky-Lammer - Ombud for Equal Treatment (Austria) and Member of the Equinet Executive Board		
09.30 - 10.00	Defining ADR and its essential criteria -	Linda Reijerkerk	
	Pros and cons in cases of discrimination	European Mediation Network Initiative (Netherlands)	
10.00 - 10.15	Questions & Answers – Discussion		
10.15 - 10.30	Situating ADR and its strategic use in the	Michiel Bonte	
	work of national equality bodies - A practical experience	Centre for equal opportunities and opposition to racism (Belgium)	
10.30 - 10.45	A model for mediation developed by a NEB: mediation applicability criteria	Kosana Beker	
		Commissioner for the Protection of Equality (Serbia)	
10.45 - 11.15	Questions & Answers – Discussion		
11.15 - 11.45	Coffee break		
11.45 - 13.00	Session II (Plenary) – Practical experiences of National Equality Bodies using ADR in cases of discrimination Chair: Therese Spiteri – National Commission for the Protection of Equality (Malta) and Member of the Equinet Executive Board		
11.45 – 12.00	An empirical analysis of ADR in	Isabella Zienicke	
	discrimination cases	Federal Anti- Discrimination Agency (Germany)	









12.00 - 12.30	Sharing the experience – Short case s encountered and how to overcome t discrimination cases through ADR Laima Vengalė-Dits – Office of the Equal Oppor (Lithuania)	hem when dealing with	
	Andriani Papadopoulou – Greek Ombudsman		
12.30 - 13.00	Questions & Answers – Discussion		
13.00 - 14.00	Lunch		
14.00 - 15.30	Workshop Session I – "World café" covering the concept and criteria of ADR in discrimination cases, including specific questions:		
	- Challenges of convincing the parties to a	gree to negotiations?	
	 Challenges concerning the neutrality of a specialised equality body acting as a mediator in a discrimination case? 		
	 How is it possible to handle the power imbalance during negotiations between victim and alleged perpetrator? 		
	- Is an ADR procedure always a good optic (taking into consideration the confidentiality		
	Facilitators:	· · (M.··l.·l.·l.) · · · l.M.···l.··	
	Domenica Ghidei – Equal Treatment Commission (Netherlands) and Member of the Equinet Executive Board,		
	Jayne Hardwick - Equality and Human Rights Commission (UK),		
	Vasco Malta – High Commission for Immigration and Intercultural Dialogue (Portugal),		
	Tamas Kadar and Catharina Sahl – Equinet S	ecretariat	
15.30 - 16.00	Coffee break		
16.00 - 18.00	6.00 – 18.00 Session III (Plenary) – Solutions to discrimination cases adopted through ADR		
	Chair: Jozef de Witte – Center for Equal Opportunities and Opposition to Racism (Belgium) and Chair of the Equinet Executive Board		
16.00 - 16.30	Potential solutions to discrimination	Richard de Groot	
	cases adopted through ADR - The enforcement perspective	Equal Treatment Commission (Netherlands)	
16.30 - 16.45	Questions & Answers - Discussion		
16.45 – 17.45	Panel discussion	Subin Nijhawan	
	On the pros and cons of using ADR	Rūta Skyrienė	
	mechanisms in discrimination cases	Investors' Forum	
		(Lithuania)	









		Louise Curtis Equality and Human Rights Commission (UK)
		Linda Reijerkerk European Mediation Network Initiative
17.45 - 18.00	Questions & Answers - Discussion	
18.45	Departure for dinner and social event	

DAY 2 – Thursday 24 May 2012			
09.15 - 10.45	Workshop Session II - Case study		
	Group discussions based on a case study on the potential use of ADR in a case of alleged discrimination		
	<u>Facilitators</u> :		
	Domenica Ghidei – Equal Treatment Commission (Netherlands) and Member of the Equinet Executive Board, Jayne Hardwick – Equality and Human Rights Commission (UK),		
	Tamas Kadar and Catharina Sahl – Equinet Se	ecretariat	
10.45 - 11.00	Coffee Break		
11.00 - 12.00	Closing session (Plenary)		
	Chair: Néphèli Yatropoulous – Defender of Righ the Equinet Executive Board	nts (France) and Member of	
11.00 – 11.15	Real-life outcomes of the case discussed in Workshop II	Louise Curtis Equality and Human Rights Commission (UK)	
11.15 - 11.45	Questions & Answers – Discussion		
11.45 – 12.00	Concluding remarks and closing		
	Aušrinė Burneikienė Equal Opportunities Ombudsperson, Lithuania		
	Jozef de Witte Chair of the EQUINET Executive Board		
12.00 - 13.15	Side event – European Institute for Gender I	Equality (EIGE)	
12.00 - 12.30	Presentation of the European Institute for Gender Equality	Virginija Langbakk	









	Director of EIGE	
12.30 - 13.15	Discussion	
	EIGE cooperation with gender equality bodies	
13.15 - 14.15	Lunch reception hosted by EIGE	



